

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/772,706	02/05/2004	Steven Petrucelli ,	MSI-129-CIP	. 5618	
45722 75	90 01/05/2006		EXAMINER		
PLEVY & HOWARD, P.C.			GIBSON, R	GIBSON, RANDY W	
P.O. BOX 226 FORT WASHINGTON, PA 19034			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2841	
			DATE MAILED: 01/05/2000		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<b>V</b>			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/772,706	PETRUCELLI, ST	EVEN			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Randy W. Gibson	2841				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	idress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I.  lely filed the mailing date of this c (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 De	ecember 2005.					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	г.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 February 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P7	ГО-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li></ol>	ity documents have been receive	d in this National	Stage			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)	,, <b>-</b>					
1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) LI Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) D Notice of Informal P		O-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/772,706 Page 2

Art Unit: 2841

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Terminal Disclaimer

1. The terminal disclaimer filed on 19 December 2005 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of U.S. # 6,689,964 has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kuroda et al (JP 56-151,323 A) in view of Day (US # 3,967,271). As noted by the applicant in his last remarks, the only difference between the scale shown in Kuoda and the claimed invention is that the optical shaft encoder of Kuoda consists of an opaque disk 20 (I.E.: "the movable member") with alternating reflective and dark markings where both the light emitter 25 and light detector 26 of the "sensor arrangement" 24 are located on the same side of the opaque disk 20 (as shown in Figure 6), instead of the optical shaft encoder comprising of a transparent disk with alternating transparent and opaque sections (where the light emitter and light detector of the "sensor arrangement"

Art Unit: 2841

are on the opposite sides of the disk) as claimed. Day show that it is an art recognized functional equivalent to use a sensor arrangement consisting of a transparent disk 50 with alternating transparent 52,54 and opaque sections where the light emitters 56,58 and light detectors 60,62 of the "sensor arrangement" are on the opposite sides of the disk (Col. 3, lines 34-68). It would have been obvious to substitute the optical shaft encoder of Day for the optical shaft encoder of Kuroda because these two types of shaft encoders were recognized as functional equivalents in the weighing art as evidenced by both types of shaft encoders being used in the same way for the same purpose in similar types of weighing scales. See *In re Fout*, 675 F.2d 297, 213 USPQ 532 (CCPA 1982); and, *MPEP* §§ 2144.06 & 2144.07.

#### Conclusion

4. Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Randy W. Gibson whose telephone number is (571) 272-2103. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri., 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kamand Cuneo can be reached on (571) 272-1957. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/772,706

Art Unit: 2841

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Randy W. Gibson Primary Examiner Art Unit 2841 Page 4